

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|------------------------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/664,644 | 09/17/2003 | Tsai-Hsin Tsai | JCLA9862 | 5047 |
| 7590 10/04/2004 | | | EXAMINER | |
| J.C. Patents, Inc. Suite 250 | | | LEYKIN, RITA | |
| 4 Venture | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| Irvine, CA 9 | 2618 | | 2837 | |

DATE MAILED: 10/04/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | | | (A) | | | |
|---|--|--|--|---|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | Applic | ation No. | Applican | t(s) | | |
| | | 10/664 | 4,644 | TSAI ET | AL. | | |
| | | Exami | ner | Art Unit | | | |
| | | Rita L | eykin | 2837 | | | |
| Period fo | The MAILING DATE of this community or Reply | nication appears on | the cover sheet w | rith the correspond | lence address | | |
| THE - External after of the control | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD IN MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come period for reply specified above is less than thirty (5) period for reply is specified above, the maximum source to reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no munication. 30) days, a reply within the tatutory period will apply ar y will, by statute, cause the | o event, however, may a statutory minimum of thin and will expire SIX (6) MOI application to become A | reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be consi NTHS from the mailing da BANDONED (35 U.S.C. | dered timely. ate of this communication. § 133). | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) fil | ed on . | | | | | |
| · | | 2b)⊠ This action i | s non-final. | | | | |
| 3) | , _ | | | | | | |
| • | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Disposit | ion of Claims | • • | | | | | |
| 5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ | Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-20 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. | | | | | | |
| Applicat | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9) | The specification is objected to by the | ne Examiner. | | | | | |
| 10)[| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | |
| | Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | |
| 11)[| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | |
| Priority (| under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| a) | Acknowledgment is made of a claim All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority 2. Certified copies of the priority 3. Copies of the certified copies application from the Internationsee the attached detailed Office actions | documents have be documents have be of the priority documents Bureau (PCT F | peen received. Deen received in A Iments have been Rule 17.2(a)). | Application No received in this N | · | | |
| Attachmen | t(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) 🛛 Notic | e of References Cited (PTO-892) | | 4) Interview : | Summary (PTO-413) | | | |
| 2) 🔲 Notic | e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (I | | Paper No(| s)/Mail Date | otion (PTO 452) | | |
| | nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or r No(s)/Mail Date | PTO/SB/08) | 6) Other: | nformal Patent Applica | хион (Р I O- 152) | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/664,644 Page 2

Art Unit: 2837

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 2. Claims 1-20 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Okada et al. US # 5,734,241.

With respect to above claims, Okada et al. disclose a brake control system of a brushless motor that is controlled by an electronic circuit constituted in a semiconductor chip. Wherein Fig. 15 shows rotational control circuit adapted for a spindle motor. In column 12, lines 45-67 Okada et al. teach that during the brake operation the reverse-rotation torque is generated in the rotor by the first brake current of first current level, to thereby perform the brake operation at a full torque. It is interpreted that time required for application of full torque constitutes a first period.

Decreasing the reversing torque is conducted when the rotating speed reaches the absolute number of revolutions (the reference speed), the multi-vibrator 307 generates a low level signal. In response to the low level signal the current control circuit 308 switches from the first brake current to the second level current which is smaller than the first level current. As a result, the braking is performed by a smaller

Art Unit: 2837

reverse-rotation torque. The second brake current is gradually decreased, thereby smoothly stopping the rotation. This operation is performed during second time period. The second brake current is gradually decreased, therefore the brake torque will be zero when the motor is almost stopped.

With respect to claims 6, 7, 13 and 14, Fig. 11a-e and 12 show the linear change of the applied signal and stable brake operation.

Hence, it has been obvious to one of ordinary skills in the art, at the time invention was made to use above teaching for smooth control of spindle motor during braking operation to avoid over-braking or underbracing.

The reason is eliminate rotational fluctuation.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Rita Leykin whose telephone number is (571)272-2066. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 8:30-6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Martin can be reached on (571)272-2107. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2837

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Rita Leykin

Primary Examiner

g Seglein

Art Unit 2837

R.L.